

Science-driven opportunities for collaboration

Erik Katsavounidis
for the LIGO-Virgo Collaborations

OpenLVEM Town Hall Meeting
MIT, March 16, 2018

Policy Fundamentals

Objectives must be part of the science program of the LIGO-Virgo Collaborations.

Agreements/collaborations with non-LIGO-Virgo partners should not be “exclusive” for any of the science topics pursued.

Data/information/results privacy to be maintained at all times.

Joint Publications of results upon mutual agreement and with the whole LIGO-Virgo author group.

Possible data flow models

GW transient triggers below the detection standard that may improve a specific science/source search when analyzed jointly with the EM/neutrino sectors.

Several MOUs with similar scope exercised in recent times/still in place:

- High Energy Neutrinos (Antares, Icecube).

- Gamma-Ray/X-ray transients sources (Fermi-GBM).

- Core-collapse Supernova low energy neutrinos (Borexino, Icecube, KamLAND, LVD).

- Generally, not low-latency critical (until now) and with low opportunity cost .

Possible data flow models

EM transient/neutrino triggers not in the public domain that may improve a specific science/source search when analyzed jointly in GWs.

Several MOUs with similar scope exercised in recent times/still in place:

- High Energy Neutrinos (Antares, Icecube).

- Gamma-Ray/X-ray transients sources (Fermi-GBM).

- Fast Radio Bursts (Green Bank Observatory, Parkes Radio telescopes).

- Core-collapse Supernova low energy neutrinos (Borexino, Icecube, KamLAND, LVD).

- Generally, not low-latency critical (until now) and with low opportunity cost .

Possible data flow models

EM transient information not in the public domain that may improve a specific GW search/detection potential.

Several MOUs with similar scope exercised in recent times/still in place:

CCSN light curves, progenitor information (ASAS-SN, DLT40).

Generally, not low-latency critical.

Possible data flow models

Information on GW transient detection from LIGO-Virgo not in the public domain (OPA) that can be used in analyzing EM data jointly and for specific science targets:

Inclination, individual masses and spins, tidal parameters for binary mergers.

3-D localization information including full error budget post-EM counterpart identification.

Waveform details on GW transient alert when not a binary merger.

Open questions (science)

What are such areas for collaborative efforts?

BNS/NSBH targets:

- Cosmology (H_0)

- GRB modeling

- NS physics (broadband modeling, tides)

- Tests of GR/Fundamental physics

Surprise targets:

- BBH

- unmodelled (non-binary) transients

Other targets:

- CCSN

- FRBs, ...

What is time-critical (i.e., relevant for low-latency)?

What is the added value in such science areas the collaborative effort will bring w/r/t what public data can offer?

Open questions (policy/organization)

How to start collaborative analyses?

A written proposal that presents the idea, analysis, data (EM/nu/GW) and measurement/ publication?

How do we organize such collaborative efforts?

Some MOU structure will be needed Peer-to-peer, broader group-structure?

What happens when multiple parties with overlapping interests/proposals materialize?

Do we set this up now or after observations/detections take place?

How to proceed

Fri 16:00-17:30 Break-out sessions to discuss science cases in bldg. 37 (70 Vassar St) on (at least):

Cosmology (Marlar Lounge, 2nd floor; rapporteur: TBD)

NS physics (in 37-171; rapporteur: TBD)

Fundamental physics/GRB (in 37-212; rapporteur: TBD)

Other science areas (6th floor conf room; rapporteur: TBD)

Sat 08:30-09:30 Rapporteur presentations.

Sat 09:30-10:30 Open mic/discussion.

Create OpenLVEM+[subgroup] structure/organization?